### **About the Editors and Contributors**

#### **Editors**

Wim Wiewel is the Provost and Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs at the University of Baltimore. Previously he served as Dean of the College of Business Administration and the College of Urban Planning and Public Affairs at the University of Illinois at Chicago. He is a past president of the Association of Collegiate Schools of Planning. His publications include Suburban Sprawl: Private Decisions and Public Policy, When Corporations Leave Town: The Costs and Benefits of Metropolitan Job Sprawl, and Urban-Suburban Interdependencies.

Gerrit-Jan Knaap is Professor of Urban Studies and Planning and Director of the National Center for Smart Growth Research and Education at the University of Maryland, College Park. He earned his BS from Willamette University, his MS and PhD from the University of Oregon, and received postdoctoral training at the University of Wisconsin–Madison, all in economics. Knaap is the coauthor or coeditor of four books: Land Market Monitoring for Smart Urban Growth, The Regulated Landscape: Lessons on State Land Use Planning from Oregon, Spatial Development in Indonesia: Review and Prospects, and Environmental Program Evaluation: A Primer.

### Contributors

**Thomas T. Ankersen** is a Legal Skills Professor and Director at the University of Florida Conservation Clinic and Codirector of the Summer Environmental Law Program at the University of Florida/University of Costa Rica Joint Program in Environmental Law, Gainesville.

**James R. Cohen** is Lecturer and Director of Graduate Studies in the Urban Studies and Planning Program at the University of Maryland, College Park.

**Christine Danis**, AICP, is Project Manager of the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, at the Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick.

238

Nancy Frank is Associate Professor of Urban Planning at the University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee.

**Priscilla Geigis** is Director of Massachusetts State Parks and formerly the Director of Community Preservation for the Executive Office of Environmental Affairs, Massachusetts.

**Michael J. Greenberg,** PhD, is Professor and Associate Dean for Faculty at the Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, and Director of the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, both at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick.

**Michael Greenwald** is Assistant Professor of Urban Planning at the University of Wisconsin–Milwaukee.

**David W. Gross,** PhD, is a conservation program development consultant in Ithaca, New York, and was formerly a member of the Department of Natural Resources, Cornell University.

**Elisabeth Hamin** is Assistant Professor in the Department of Landscape Architecture and Regional Planning, University of Massachusetts–Amherst.

**Richard W. Jelier,** PhD, is Associate Professor at the School of Public and Nonprofit Administration at Grand Valley State University in Grand Rapids, Michigan.

**Nicole C. Kibert** is an attorney at Carlton Fields in Tampa, Florida.

**Edward W. LeClear** is Special Projects Coordinator for the Pennsylvania Downtown Center in Harrisburg, Pennsylvania.

**Greg Lindsey** is Director of the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment and is the Duey-Murphy Professor of Rural Land Policy, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI).

**Henry Mayer,** PhD, is Executive Director of the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick.

**Jeremy Morris** is at West Virginia University, Morgantown.

**Brian W. Ohm,** JD, is Associate Professor in the Department of Urban and Regional Planning, University of Wisconsin-Madison.

John Ottensmann is Associate Director of the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment and Professor of Public and Environmental Affairs at the School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University-Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI).

Jamie Palmer is Planner/Policy Analyst at the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI); President of the Indiana Planning Association; and Chair of the Indiana Land Use Consortium.

**Robert Parker**, AICP, is Director of the Community Planning Workshop, Department of Planning, Public Policy and Management, University of Oregon, Eugene.

**Meredith Perry** is Community Outreach Specialist in the Office of Grants and Program Review, University of Tennessee at Chattanooga.

**L. Christopher Plein, PhD**, is Associate Professor of Public Administration at West Virginia University, Morgantown.

**John Schaerer** is Special Assistant for External Affairs at the University of Tennessee at Chattanooga.

Linda Silka is Director of the Center for Family, Work, and Community; Professor in the Department of Regional Economic and Social Development; and Special Assistant to the Provost for Community–University Partnerships at the University of Massachusetts-Lowell.

Laura Solitare, PhD, is Research Associate at the National Center for Neighborhood and Brownfields Redevelopment, Edward J. Bloustein School of Planning and Public Policy, Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, and is also Assistant Professor of Urban Planning and Environmental Policy at the Barbara Jordan/Mickey Leland School of Public Affairs, Texas Southern University, Houston.

**Richard Thorsten** is a PhD candidate at the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

240

**Carol L. Townsend** is Community and Economic Development Agent at the Michigan State University Extension and Urban Component Coordinator, United Growth for Kent County, Kent/Michigan State University Extension, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

**Joseph Tutterrow** is Director of the Indiana Land Resources Council and was formerly an Assistant State Forester at the Indiana Department of Natural Resources.

**Kendra C. Wills** is Land Use Extension Agent at the Michigan State University Extension and is Project and Rural Coordinator, United Growth for Kent County, Kent/Michigan State University Extension, Grand Rapids, Michigan.

**Jeffrey Wilson** is a scholar at the Center for Urban Policy and the Environment, School of Public and Environmental Affairs, and Assistant Professor in the Department of Geography, Indiana University—Purdue University Indianapolis (IUPUI).

# About the Lincoln Institute of Land Policy

The Lincoln Institute of Land Policy is a nonprofit and tax-exempt educational institution established in 1974 to study and teach land policy, including land economics and land taxation. The Institute is supported primarily by the Lincoln Foundation, which was established in 1947 by Cleveland industrialist John C. Lincoln. He drew inspiration from the ideas of Henry George, the nineteenth-century American political economist, social philosopher and author of the book, *Progress and Poverty*.

The Institute's goals are to integrate theory and practice to better shape land policy decisions and to share understanding about the multidisciplinary forces that influence public policy in the United States and internationally. The Institute organizes its work in three departments: valuation and taxation, planning and development, and international studies, with special programs in Latin America and China.

The Lincoln Institute seeks to improve the quality of debate and disseminate knowledge of critical issues in land policy by bringing together scholars, policy makers, practitioners and citizens with diverse backgrounds and experience. We study, exchange insights and work toward a broader understanding of complex land and tax policies. The Institute does not take a particular point of view, but rather serves as a catalyst to facilitate analysis and discussion of these issues—to make a difference today and to help policy makers plan for tomorrow.



113 Brattle Street Cambridge, MA 02138–3400 USA

Phone: 617–661–3016 x127 or 800–LAND-USE (800–526–3873)

Fax: 617–661–7235 or 800–LAND-944 (800–526–3944)

E-mail: help@lincolninst.edu Web: www.lincolninst.edu

# Index

Affordable housing, 222	Central Indiana case study, 95–113
Agricultural land; see Farmland	collaboration in, 108–110
Air-quality program, 65	implementation, 100-101
Alexiou, George, 186	land cover change project, 101–104
American Bar Association (ABA), 65	land use in, 107-108
American Planning Association (APA),	major collaborative projects, 102-103
47, 60, 219, 223	outcomes of, 110–112
Americana Foundation, 151	planning practices, 104-107
Anderson, John, 208	program activity planning and
Ankersen, Thomas T., 7, 64	collaboration, 96–100
Antisprawl initiatives, 22	university-stakeholder collaborations,
Arendt, Randall, 153, 159-160	98–99
Avin, Uri, 18	Chesapeake Bay, 17–19, 22–23
Ayers Saint Gross (ASG), 185	Citizen Planner Program, 159
	Clean Air Act, 65
Barney, John, 132, 146	Clean and Green program, 140
Bergeron, Arthur, 123	Clean Water Act, 65
Bloustein School of Planning and Public	Client-driven projects, 38
Policy, 83–84	Clinical environmental law education,
Board of County Commissioners of	overview of, 65–67
Brevard County v. Snyder, 71	Clinical legal education case study; see
Bratina, John, 47	Environmental law clinics;
"Broken Windows" (Wilson and	University of Florida Conservation
Kelling), 213	Clinic
Brown, Barbara, 27	Cohen, James R., 6, 13–14, 17–18
Brownfields, 5; see also National Center	Communities; see also University-
for Neighborhood and Brownfields	community partnerships
Redevelopment	professional practice and, 57
Brownfields Redevelopment Act, 76	universities and, 3
Brownfields Voluntary Cleanup and	Community design team; see West
Revitalization Incentive Programs,	Virginia Community Design Team
24	(CDT) case study
Bugher, Mark, 223, 232	Community Planning Workshop (CPW)
Building Great Neighborhoods, 155	case study, 49–63
Burden, Dan, 155	communities and professional practice,
Burke, Brian, 224, 226, 233	57
	dual mission of, 58–59
Calthorpe, P., 43	faculty and students, 58
Campus (Turner), 184	funding, 55

Community Planning Workshop (CPW) Downtown redevelopment, 34, 73–76 case study (continued) Duany Plater-Zyberk & Company, 229 history of, 50-51 implementation of, 51–54 Eagle Point (Ore.), 51 institutional structure, 55-56 Earthjustice, 66 Economic development, 50 lessons of, 61-63 Economic Growth, Resource Protection leveraging limited resources, 56–58 mission of, 49-50 and Planning Act (Md.), 23 project selection and market areas, 52-53 Educational mission, 62 as service-learning program, 58–59 Endangered Species Act, 65 smart growth and, 51 Enterprise zone, 23 staffing and organizational structure, Environmental issues, 4, 142 53-54 Environmental law clinics, 64–65, 70: state government and, 56-57 see also University of Florida structure of, 51-54 Conservation Clinic student researchers, 59-60 client-client relationships, 78–79 Community preservation; see clients of, 66 Massachusetts community Environmental legislation, 65 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), 5 preservation case study Community service, 52, 55-56 Education Grants Program, 151 Congress for the New Urbanism, 24, 39, smart growth principles of, 12-13 Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI), 18–19 Conservation assessments, 65 Consulting organizations, 57 Curriculum Faculty commitment, 58, 61 Farmland, 4, 131, 148–150, 219 smart growth and, 6–7 "This Land is Your Land" learning Fee structure, 61 series, 157-159 Ferchill Group, 41 Cutler, R. W., 36 Florida Community Redevelopment Act (CRA), 73 Danis, Christine, 8, 83 Forest Conservation Act (Md.), 22, 28 Defenders of Wildlife, 66 Frank, Nancy, 6, 34 Department of Education Freed, Debby, 195 Fund for Improvement of Post-Freeway demolition; see Milwaukee Secondary Education (FIPSE), 50 freeway demolition case study university-community partnership Frey Foundation, 10, 151, 160 program, 5 Funding streams, 62 Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Outreach Garfield Park Neighborhoods Association Partnership Center program (GPNA) project, 156-157 (COPC), 5 Geigis, Priscilla, 9, 117 Department of Transportation, 37 Gentrification study, 155 Designated growth areas, 23, 84 Geographic information system (GIS), 8, Development patterns, 4 53, 73, 83, 103, 111, 118, 140, 186, DiGiacomo, Anthony, 27, 30 189, 227 Dodge, David, 27–28 examples of, 91-92

Geographic information system (GIS) (continued) role of, 88-91 use of, 92 Glendening, Parris, 23 Goal Oriented Zoning (GOZ), 90 Godschalk, David, 186 Graduate teaching fellows (GTFs), 50 Grand Valley Metropolitan Council (GVMC), 151 Granholm, Jennifer, 161 Grassroots activism, 93, 148, 222 Green building, 77 Greenbelt development, 28 Greenberg, Michael, 8, 83 Greenfield development, 5 Greenwald, Michael J., 6, 34, 47 Gross, David W., 10, 131–133 Growing Smart (APA), 223 Growth management policies, 7, 49, Growth vs. no growth debate, 4

Hamin, Elisabeth, 9, 117, 126 Heritage area, 23 Historic district designation, 175 Home ownership incentives, 208–209 Home-rule traditions, 117 Hooker, Michael, 184–185 Hoosier Farmland Preservation Task Force, 101 Housing stock, 40

Indiana Land Resources Council (ILRC), 96–101, 103–106, 110, 112
Indiana Land Use Consortium (ILUC), 9, 96–101, 103, 105, 110, 112
Indiana Land Use on the Edge, 97
Indiana University-Purdue University, Indianapolis (IUPUI), 96
Infographics Lab, 53
Inner-city neighborhoods, 4, 7
Institutional support, 61
Interdisciplinary studies, 67, 77–78
Intergovernmental agreements, 55

Jacobs, Alan, 40 Jelier, Richard W., 10, 147 Job Creation Tax Credit Program, 24

Kelley, Margaret, 207 Kelling, George L., 213 Kellogg Foundation, 151 Kent, T. J., 224 Kibert, Nicole C., 7, 64

LaFollette, Robert M., 217–218 LaGro, James, 230–231 Lake City Corp. v. City of Mequon, 220-221 Land use advocacy organization, 222 Land Use in Central Indiana (LUCI) model, 99, 101, 103, 107-111 Land use constitution, 71 Land use planning, 49–50, 88, 95 Learning relationships, 59 LeClear, Edward W., 10, 131-132 Lindsey, Greg, 8, 95 Live-Near-Your-Work Program, 24 Local government comprehensive planning, 70-72 Luther King (MLK) district case study; see Martin Luther King (MLK) district case study Lyndhurst Foundation, 203, 209

Mandel Group, 41
Marineland, 71, 76
Martin, Alexiou and Bryson, 186
Martin Luther King (MLK) district case study, 199–214
background on, 201
campus expansion, 208
challenges of, 206–211
children's center, 209
elementary schools and, 205, 209
faculty, staff, and student engagement, 205–206
funding, 208–209
goals and guiding principles of, 202
home ownership incentives, 208–209

implementation overview, 203-204

Martin Luther King (MLK) district case Milwaukee freeway demolition case study (contineud) study (continued) lack of infrastructure, 209-210 university-community partnership, 38, lessons of collaboration, 211-213 45-47 literature, research, and data, 201–202 Mini grants, 160 new opportunities, 214 Minnesota Design Team, 166 ongoing urban redevelopment, Montrose Heritage Greenway, 137, 142 200-201 Moore, T., 60, 62 program activity, planning, and Morgan, Michael, 39 collaboration, 201-202 Morgridge Center for Public Service, program implementation, 202-206 property acquisition, 207 Morrill Act of 1862, 150 resident mistrust/suspicion of motives, Morris, Jeremy, 10, 165 207-208 Morsicato, Eric, 27-30, 33 residential infill, 204, 208-209 Municipality, 23 sewer/storm-water run-off, 210 streetscaping, 210-211 National Center for Neighborhood and traffic patterns, 210 Brownfields Redevelopment, 83 Maryland's Critical Area Act (1984), 22, overview of, 83-84, 86-87 28 National Congress for the New Massachusetts community preservation Urbanism, 129 case study, 117-130 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination Community Preservation Institute, System (NPDES) program, 186 National Wildlife Federation, 66 117 - 121feedback, evaluation, and changes, Natural areas, 4 Nederveld Associates, 148 124 - 128institutional challenges and outcomes, New Jersey's smart growth case studies 128 - 129designated centers, 84 program design and implementation, future applications of, 93-94 GIS model, 89-91 120 - 124Mayer, Henry, 8, 83 project implementation, 87–89 reflections on, 92-93 Michigan State University (MSU); see United Growth: West Michigan case smart growth strategy, 84-85 Somerset County area, 85–87 study Milwaukee freeway demolition case zoning and planning impacts, 89-91 study, 34 Norquist, John, 39, 219 attracting development, 41 challenges of, 44-45 Ohm, Brian W., 12, 14, 216, 231 issues of, 35-37 1000 Friends of Wisconsin, 222, 225, 229 plan objectives, 40 Open space preservation, 131, 159 planning-learning feedback process, Orfield, Myron, 150-151 39 - 40Organizational partnerships, 57 program activity planning and Ottensmann, John, 8, 95 collaboration, 37-39 smart growth outcomes, 40-44 Palmer, Jamie, 8, 95 smarter transportation, 42-44 Park, Joe, 13

Park, Peter, 6, 34, 37–39, 44–47 Planning Wisconsin, 219–220 Parker, Robert, 7, 49 Plein, Christopher, 10, 165 Political interference, 66 Parkland, 65 Partnerships, 52, 62 Postindustrial rural setting, 166 Patton, C. V., 61 Problem-driven projects, 38 Pedestrian environment, 40, 43, 93, 211 Professional practice, 57 Pennsylvania's rural landscape Progressive Era. 217 conservation case study, 131-146 Project development phase, 52 Property acquisition, 207–208 barriers and challenges of, 138 challenges of, 143 Property rights, 95 Clean and Green program, 140 Purchase of Development Rights community-university resources and programs, 156, 160-161 roles, 135-137 conservation planning and, 138-140 Quality control, 62 issues for replication, 145 Quality of life issues, 4 lessons of, 140-141 local impacts, 142–143 Renewal plan, 41 operational issues, 141–142 Research centers, smart growth at, 8-9 Residential infill, 204, 208-209 outcomes, 142-143 planning techniques, 137–138 Richmond, Henry, 150 process and goals, 134-135 Ridge Economic Agricultural Partners program activity implementation, (REAP), 154 Rogers Tavern, 20-21, 29 135-138 program planning and collaboration, Rose (Edward L.) Conservancy (ELRC), 131 - 134131, 134–140, 142, 144 results and assessment of, 144-145 Rozek, Allison, 47 smart growth and, 138-140 Rural communities, 52, 134, 140-141; transferability, 145 see also Pennsylvania's rural university impacts, 143 landscape conservation case study People and Land project, 151 Rural Legacy Act, 23 Perry, Meredith, 11, 199 Rusk, David, 150-151 Perryville case study, 17–33 Ryan, Robert, 123 Community Planning Studio course, 18 - 21Sawicki, D. S., 61 findings and recommendations of, 25–26 Schaerer, John, 11, 199 lessons learned from, 32-33 Service education, 65, 79 main issues of, 20-21 Service-learning program, 58-59 report's utility, 29-30 Sewer run-off, 210 sensitive areas and, 23 Shea, Cindy, 195 Sierra Club, 66 smart growth law and, 22-23 Start Growth Initiatives, 23–24 Silka, Linda, 9, 117 student reactions to, 30-32 Skilling, Mary Ann, 27 students' tasks, 21-22 Skole, David, 149 utilization of the report, 26-30 Smart growth, 3, 216; see also specific zoning ordinances, 28–29 case studies Planning decisions, 117 at research centers, 8-9

Smart growth (continued) Transportation, 189–190, 195 Turner, Paul, 184 collaboration and, 9-11 community and, 11-12 Tutterrow, Joseph, 8, 95 the curriculum and, 6-7 United Growth: West Michigan case defined, 22 study, 10, 147-162 EPA's principles of, 12–13 goals of, 131 capacity building, 153-155 citizen planner program, 159 smart growth dividend aid program, community leadership and policy 231-232 development, 155 traditional neighborhood development ordinances, 229-232 Garfield Park Neighborhoods universities and, 3, 216-217 Association (GPNA) project, zoning ordinances and, 222 156 - 157Smart Growth America, 6 key projects, 156-161 Smart Growth Network, 24 mini grants, 160 Smart Growth for Perryville, 25–26 open space preservation, 159 Smith-Lever Act, 150 organizational history of, 150-152 Social justice, 142 primary goals of, 147-148 Solitare, Laura, 8, 83 project partners, 162–164 Southeast Wisconsin Regional Planning public education, 152-153 Commission (SEWRPC), 42 Purchase of Development Rights Special zoning district, 193 programs, 160-161 Sprawl issues, 4-5, 147 results of, 161-162 West Michigan case, 148–150 rural committee, 147 Stacy, Bill, 208, 213 success of, 151 State government, 56-57 "This Land is Your Land" Learning Storm-water retention/run-off, 191-192, Series, 157-159 210 three-tier approach, 152–155 Strawser, Chuck, 231 university partnering of, 151 Streetscaping, 210-211 urban committee, 147 Student researchers, 59-60 urban sprawl, 148-150 Suburban growth, 4 University-community partnerships, 3, 5, 11, 38; see also Community Planning Workshop (CPW) case Tanner, Mary, 203 "This Land is Your Land" Learning study; Smart growth Series, 157-159 comprehensive efforts of, 212 defining success, 46 Thompson, Tommy, 12, 219, 224 Thorsten, Richard, 11, 183 dual mission of, 58-59 Time management, 62 faculty and students, 58 Townsend, Carol L., 10, 147 funding of, 55 Traditional neighborhood development importance of, 213 ordinances, 229-231 as joint enterprise, 212 Traffic patterns, 210 lessons of, 61-63 Trail development, 177 organizational partnerships, 57 Transfer-of-development rights (TDR) power of, 56-59 program, 28, 139 role of, 4-12

University-community partnerships University of Oregon, Community (continued) Planning Workshop (CPW), 7, 49–63 service-learning program, 58-59 University outreach, 165 student researchers, 59-60 University-stakeholder collaborations, university as protected environment, 45-46 University of Tennessee at Chattanooga case study; see King district case UWM-City of Milwaukee linkages, 45 - 47University of Florida Conservation University of Wisconsin-Madison, 217-218, 227 Clinic, 64-69 clinic-client relationships, 78-79 University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee downtown redevelopment, 73-76 (UWM), School of Architecture and green building, 77 Urban Planning (SARUP), 34-47 interdisciplinary collaboration, Urban General Plan, The (Kent), 224 problems of, 77-78 Urban growth; see also Smart growth local government comprehensive decisions and practices of, 3 planning, 70-72 development and, 4 methodology and pedagogy, 68-70 growth vs. no growth debate, 4 Urban growth boundaries (UGBs), 51 origins and development of, 57-70 small basin watershed planning, 72-73 Urban Land Institute, 24 smart growth projects, 70-79 Urban sprawl, in West Michigan, 148-150 urban wetland mitigation, 72-73 Urban transit-oriented development University of Maryland, Community node, 43 Planning Studio case study, 17–33 University of North Carolina at Chapel Van Hise, Charles R., 218 Hill case study, 183-198 Vergennes Township (Mich.), 159 campus master plan, 183-184, Village green, 184 195-196 citizen and stakeholder participation, Waldorf, Rosemary, 198 Walkable Communities, 155 community of, 183-185 Wastewater, 88 development of master plan, 185-192 Watershed planning, 65, 72–73, 88 development ordinance, 192-195 Weinstein, Janet, 69 distinctive university settings, 190-191 West Michigan Strategic Alliance, 151 West Virginia Community Design Team environmentally sensitive areas, 191–192 growth challenges, 183-187 (CDT) case study, 10-11, 165-179 mix land uses, 190 community collaborative process, 170 natural open space, 191 intermediate goals, 169 on-campus housing, 189 long-term goals, 169 public consideration, 193 program activities, 171-172 smart growth principles and objectives, program implementation, 168-171 186 - 192program origins, approaches, and to promote campus infill, 187-189 evolution, 166-168 transportation alternatives, 189-190 results of, 177-178 walkability on campus, 190 short-term goals, 169 zoning ordinances, 194 smart growth principles, 172-177

West Virginia Community Design Team (CDT) case study (continued) success and failures of, 171-172 Wetlands, 17, 72-75 Weygand, Sharon, 19, 27, 30 Wiesing, James J., 153 Wills, Kendra C., 10, 147 Wilson, James Q., 213 Wilson, Jeffrey, 8, 95 Wisconsin Builders Association, 221, 223 Wisconsin Idea, 217-221 Wisconsin Land Council, 220 Wisconsin Realtors Association (WRA), 221-223, 225-226 Wisconsin smart growth case study, 216-233 challenges of, 228 comprehensive planning, 226-228 fourteen planning goals, 225 land use issue, 218-221

Wisconsin smart growth case study (continued) nature of collaboration, 226 outcomes, 226-232 program activity implementation, 223-226 program activity planning and collaboration, 221–223 smart growth dividend aid program, 231-232 traditional neighborhood development ordinances, 229-231 Wisconsin Idea context, 217-218 Wispark LLC, 41 Witzling, Larry, 37

Yale University Clinic, 66

Zoning, 25, 28, 41, 71, 89–92, 122, 222